Correspondence José Ruiz-Herrera jruiz@ira.cinvestav.mx

Received26 October 2011Revised2 December 2011Accepted2 January 2012

# INTRODUCTION

Polyamines are organic polycations required by all living organisms (Pegg & McCann, 1982; Tabor & Tabor, 1984, 1985; Cohen, 1998). They have drawn interest because they are essential for cell growth and differentiation, one model of which is fungal dimorphism, which provides a useful system to study their role (Ruiz-Herrera & Calvo-Méndez, 1987; Ruiz-Herrera, 1993, 1994; Guevara-Olvera *et al.*, 1993; Herrero *et al.*, 1999; Jiménez-Bremont *et al.*, 2001; Blasco *et al.*, 2002). The most common polyamines in eukaryotes are putrescine, spermidine and spermine, but some fungi lack spermine, and contain only putrescine and spermidine (Nickerson *et al.*, 1977; Valdés-Santiago *et al.*,

Abbreviations: dcSAM, decarboxylated *S*-adenosylmethionine; ODC, ornithine decarboxylase; SAM, *S*-adenosylmethionine.

The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession number for the *SAMDC* gene sequence of *U. maydis* is HE582743.

2009). Putrescine, the smallest of the polyamines and precursor of the others, is the result of decarboxylation by ornithine decarboxylase (ODC). In Ustilago maydis, we observed that odc mutants were unable to carry out the pH-dependent dimorphic transition, even using concentrations of putrescine that were high enough to satisfy their growth requirements (Guevara-Olvera et al., 1997). A similar behaviour was displayed by Yarrowia lipolytica (Jiménez-Bremont et al., 2001) and Candida albicans (Herrero et al., 1999) odc mutants. These mutants were able to carry out the yeast-to-mycelium dimorphic transition only in the presence of an exceedingly high concentration of putrescine. These results clearly demonstrated the role of polyamines in fungal differentiation, but they failed to identify the polyamine(s) involved in the process. This question was duly resolved in U. maydis by analysis of mutants that lack putrescine (Valdés-Santiago et al., 2010), demonstrating that spermidine is the important

1

# Phenotypic comparison of *samdc* and *spe* mutants reveals complex relationships of polyamine metabolism in *Ustilago maydis*

Laura Valdés-Santiago,<sup>1</sup> José Antonio Cervantes-Chávez,<sup>2</sup> Robert Winkler,<sup>3</sup> Claudia G. León-Ramírez<sup>1</sup> and José Ruiz-Herrera<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Ingeniería Genética, Unidad Irapuato, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Irapuato, Gto., México

<sup>2</sup>Licenciatura en Microbiología, Universidad Autónoma de Querétaro, Querétaro, México

<sup>3</sup>Departamento de Bioquímica y Biotecnología, Unidad Irapuato, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Irapuato, Gto., México

Synthesis of spermidine involves the action of two enzymes, spermidine synthase (Spe) and Sadenosylmethionine decarboxylase (Samdc). Previously we cloned and disrupted the gene encoding Spe as a first approach to unravel the biological function of spermidine in Ustilago maydis. With this background, the present study was designed to provide a better understanding of the role played by Samdc in the regulation of the synthesis of this polyamine. With this aim we proceeded to isolate and delete the gene encoding Samdc from U. maydis, and made a comparative analysis of the phenotypes of samdc and spe mutants. Both spe and samdc mutants behaved as spermidine auxotrophs, and were more sensitive than the wild-type strain to different stress conditions. However, the two mutants displayed significant differences: in contrast to spe mutants, samdc mutants were more sensitive to LiCl stress, high spermidine concentrations counteracted their dimorphic deficiency, and they were completely avirulent. It is suggested that these differences are possibly related to differences in exogenous spermidine uptake or the differential location of the respective enzymes in the cell. Alternatively, since samdc mutants accumulate higher levels of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM), whereas spe mutants accumulate decarboxylated SAM, the known opposite roles of these metabolites in the processes of methylation and differentiation offer an additional attractive hypothesis to explain the phenotypic differences of the two mutants, and provide insights into the additional roles of polyamine metabolism in the physiology of the cell.

polyamine required for *U. maydis* dimorphism. Synthesis of this polyamine requires the action of two enzymes, spermidine synthase (Spe) and *S*-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (Samdc). The latter enzyme is responsible for the decarboxylation of *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM) with formation of decarboxylated SAM (dcSAM), which serves as donor of a propylamine group to putrescine in a reaction catalysed by Spe (Pegg & McCann, 1982).

It is known that Samdc is synthesized as a proenzyme that subsequently undergoes an intramolecular cleavage at a serine residue to generate two non-identical subunits termed  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , both of which are indispensable components of the mature enzyme (Pegg, 1986; Stanley, 1995). Genes encoding Samdc have been cloned from several organisms, including Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Caenorhabditis elegans, Leishmania donovani, Neurospora crassa and mouse (Cohn et al., 1978; Da'dara & Walter, 1998; Hoyt et al., 2000; Roberts et al., 2002; Nishimura et al., 2002). Samdc is regulated by both putrescine, which increases the levels of enzyme activity, and spermidine, which reduces them. In fact, the intracellular levels of polyamines influence SAMDC expression at multiple steps, including transcription, translation, protein half-life and, as indicated, enzymic activity (Shantz et al., 1992; Stanley & Pegg, 1991; Pegg et al., 1998). Additionally, the study of samdc mutants in the systems mentioned above has demonstrated the essential role of spermidine. However, despite the characterization of some samdc mutants, little is known regarding the existence of differences in their phenotypic characteristics from mutants deficient in the SPE gene.

Besides this multiplicity, gene disruption studies have demonstrated that spermidine is essential for vegetative growth and differentiation, while putrescine is only the precursor of higher polyamines and appears to have a minor role in the stress response and/or virulence (Chattopadhyay *et al.*, 2008; Valdés-Santiago *et al.*, 2010). One specific function of spermidine is to serve as precursor of the translation initiation factor eIF-5A, although the precise mechanism of action at the molecular level is mostly unknown (Schnier *et al.*, 1991; Zanelli & Valentini, 2007).

*U. maydis*, a plant-pathogenic Basidiomycota fungus, is an excellent model for the study of different biological phenomena, such as fungal phytopathogenicity, DNA recombination and repair, long-distance transport in hyphal growth, mitosis, and dimorphism (Holliday, 1985; Sánchez-Martínez & Pérez-Martín, 2001; Bölker, 2001; Basse & Steinberg, 2004; Klosterman *et al.*, 2007; Steinberg & Pérez-Martín, 2008). It is also a model to understand polyamine functions, considering that it contains only two polyamines, putrescine and spermidine (Guevara-Olvera *et al.*, 1997, Valdés-Santiago *et al.*, 2009, 2010). *U. maydis* has a sexual cycle that is easy to reproduce in the laboratory or greenhouse, possesses an efficient transformation system, and there are accessible dominant selection markers that

provide the basis for gene replacement (Tsukuda *et al.*, 1988; Fotheringham & Holloman, 1990). In addition, its genome has been sequenced and annotated (Kämper *et al.*, 2006).

Previously we reported the isolation and phenotype of *U. maydis spe* mutants (Valdés-Santiago *et al.*, 2009), and in this communication we describe the isolation and mutation of the *SAMDC* gene, which permitted the determination of the similarities and differences that exist between the phenotypic behaviour of *samdc* and that of the previously obtained *spe* mutants.

## **METHODS**

**Strains and growth conditions.** *U. maydis* haploid strains (Table 1) were maintained at -80 °C in liquid complete medium (CM; Holliday, 1961) supplemented with 50% glycerol, and were recovered on solid CM plates and incubated at 28 °C. *U. maydis* mutant strains were recovered on minimal medium (MM; Holliday, 1961) supplemented with 5 mM putrescine and/or 0.5 mM spermidine (Sigma-Aldrich), and 300 µg hygromycin B ml<sup>-1</sup> (Calbiochem) and/ or 20 mM carboxin. *spe* mutants were supplemented with 0.2 mM lysine (Valdés-Santiago *et al.*, 2009). Yeast or mycelial cultures were obtained as described by Ruiz-Herrera *et al.* (1995). Cell dry weight was measured after drying overnight at 65 °C. Protoplasts were prepared with lytic enzymes from *Trichoderma harzianum* (Sigma-Aldrich) as described by Tsukuda *et al.* (1988). *Escherichia coli* transformation was performed by standard procedures (Sambrook & Russell, 2001).

**Nucleic acid manipulation.** Isolation of genomic DNA was conducted as reported by Hoffman & Winston (1987). PCR was carried out using *Taq* DNA polymerase or, when required, PCR SuperMix High Fidelity (Invitrogen). Vector dephosphorylation, ligation and DNA digestion were done according to manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen). DNA sequencing reactions were performed using an ABI PRISM 377 DNA automated sequencer (Perkin Elmer) with dsDNA as template, and primers M13F and M13R (Invitrogen) or other gene-specific primers (Table 2). Northern analyses were performed as described by Sambrook & Russell (2001). A <sup>32</sup>P-labelled 1 kb *Eco*RI–*Hin*dIII *samdc* gene fragment (see below) was used as hybridization probe.

**Plasmid constructs.** To delete the gene encoding *U. maydis* Samdc (*SAMDC*), plasmid  $p\Delta samdc$  was constructed. Briefly, the full gene including its 5' and 3' flanking sequences was amplified by PCR with primers Samdc5 and Samdc3 (Table 2) using genomic DNA from *U. maydis* strain FB2 (Table 1) as template. The PCR product was cloned into plasmid pUC13, and the *Eco*RI–*Hin*dIII ORF fragment of the *SAMDC* gene was replaced with the carboxin-resistant cassette from plasmid pCBX-AC2 (Valdés-Santiago *et al.*, 2009).

To complement *U. maydis samdc* mutants, a plasmid was constructed as follows. The full *SAMDC* gene including its promoter and terminator was PCR-amplified using primers Samdc5 and Samdc3. The PCR product (3.1 kb) was cloned into plasmid pCR2.1 (Invitrogen), generating plasmid pSAMDC. Next, the *SAMDC* gene was recovered as a *Bam*HI–*Not*I fragment and cloned into the same sites of the episomal plasmid pHyg101 (Mayorga & Gold, 1998), generating plasmid pSAMDCHyg-20, which was used to transform protoplasts of *samdc*::Cbx<sup>R</sup> mutant strains. The presence of the *SAMDC* gene sequence in transformants was confirmed by PCR analysis using a primer pair specific to the gene (data not shown). All constructs used were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

Strain	Relevant genotype Reference or sour	
U. maydis strains		
FB2	a2b2	Banuett & Herskowitz (1989)
FB1	a1b1	Banuett & Herskowitz (1989)
samdc6	$a2b2 \Delta odc/samdc:: Hyg^R/Cbx^R$	This study
samdc7	$a2b2 \Delta odc/samdc:: Hyg^R/Cbx^R$	This study
5-11	a1b1 $\Delta$ samdc::Cbx <sup>R</sup>	This study
LV71	$a2b2 \Delta samdc:: Cbx^{R}$	This study
4samdcR	$a2b2 \Delta samdc:: Cbx^{R}/pLV20$	This study
7 <i>samdc</i> R	$a2b2 \Delta samdc:: Cbx^{R}/pLV20$	This study
11 <i>samdc</i> R	$a2b2 \Delta samdc:: Cbx^{R}/pLV20$	This study
LG4	$a2b2 \Delta odc:: Hyg^R$	Guevara-Olvera et al. (1997)
LV54	a2b1 $\Delta$ spe-sdh:: Cbx <sup>R</sup>	Valdés-Santiago et al. (2009)
LV7	a1b2 $\Delta spe$ -sdh:: Cbx <sup>R</sup>	Valdés-Santiago et al. (2009)
E. coli strain	-	-
DH5a	F'	Invitrogen

#### Table 1. Strains used in this study

**Mating analysis.** Mating was analysed by the 'fuz' reaction (Banuett, 1992, 1995). Briefly, drops of suspensions of the mating strains to be tested were placed one over the other on charcoal-MM agar plates with the required additions, and incubated overnight at 25 °C. The plates were checked for the presence of aerial hyphae, which give the colony a white, fuzzy appearance indicative that the strains are sexually compatible.

**Stress assays.** To determine the sensitivity of *U. maydis* to different compounds, decimal dilutions of cell suspensions were inoculated on plates of solid media amended with the compound to be tested, and growth was assessed as described previously (Valdés-Santiago *et al.*, 2009).

**Virulence assays.** These were performed as previously described (Martínez-Espinoza *et al.*, 1997). Briefly, 10 day-old seedlings of maize cv cacahuazintle were inoculated using a syringe and needle with a mixture of sexually compatible strains. The plants were kept in a greenhouse, and symptoms were recorded for 15 days after inoculation.

**Isolation of segregants from inoculated plants.** Teliospores produced in the tumours induced in maize plants inoculated with sexually compatible *U. maydis* strains were suspended in  $1.5 \% \text{ CuSO}_4$  for 2 h to kill vegetative cells, filtered through cheesecloth, washed twice with sterile distilled water, recovered by centrifugation and plated on solid CM. After 12–18 h, the sporidia formed by germination of teliospores were recovered by washing the plates with sterile distilled water, and inoculated on plates containing hygromycin B and/or carboxin to determine their phenotype (Chavez-

Table 2.	Primers	used i	in	this	study
----------	---------	--------	----	------	-------

Primer	Sequence (5'-3')	Orientation
Samdc5	GTACGTTGACTCGTGACTGTG	Forward
Samdc3	ACATGCATAGCACAGGCGAAC	Reverse
pCBX	GACCTGCCCCAAGAACCTCAACCCTG	Forward
AC110	CGCACCGGGAGTCAAGCCAGAGAAAG	Reverse
5′odc	CAACATGGACGAGCTCGAAAAGAT	Forward
3'odc	GTAAGCGCCCATGTTTTCGTAGAC	Reverse

Ontiveros *et al.*, 2000). Mating type (fuz reaction, see above) and auxotrophy to spermidine were tested in segregants thus obtained. The presence of the wild-type or the disrupted *SAMDC* gene was analysed by PCR using primer pair pCBX and AC110, and the presence of the wild-type or mutant *ODC* gene was determined by PCR according to Valdés-Santiago *et al.* (2009) using primers 5'odc and 3'odc.

Determination of SAM and dcSAM levels. U. maydis cells were grown in liquid MM with addition of 0.1 mM spermidine or other requirements (see Methods and the legend to Fig. 6) for 48 h, harvested by centrifugation, washed twice with sterile distilled water, suspended in 6 % perchloric acid (1 ml) for 1 h at room temperature and recovered by centrifugation (Shobayashi et al., 2006), and the supernatants were subjected to MS analysis. MS measurements were carried out on a Micromass ZQ 2000 Quadrupole instrument with MassLynx 4.0 as control software. Quantification was performed using electron spray ionization (ESI) in positive mode. The capillary voltage was set to 3 kV, the cone voltage to 60 V and the extractor voltage to 3 V. The RF lens was left at 0. A source temperature of 100 °C and a temperature of 350 °C were used at a desolvation gas flow of 250 l  $h^{-1}$  and a cone gas flow of 20 l  $h^{-1}$ . In the Analyzer section, LM and HM resolution of 0.15 and an ion energy of 0.5 were set. The multiplier was adjusted to a value of 650. The samples were directly injected with a flow rate of 10 µl min<sup>-1</sup>. Continuous spectra were collected in the range of 15-2000 m/z, with a run duration of 1 min, a scan time of 10 s and an inter-scan time of 0.1 s. MassLynx raw spectra were converted to mzXML data format using MassWolf. The further analysis of mass spectra was performed using the OpenMS/TOPP suite, version 1.7.0 (1, 2). First, a pipeline was written for TOPPAS, executing the following tasks for all spectra: file conversion to mzML, merging all spectra of a sample, NoiseFilter sgolay with a frame length of 21 and polynomial order of 4, followed by a PeakPicker with a signal to noise of 1 and a peak width of 0.15. Subsequently, the corresponding peak data were extracted manually from the processed spectra. Protonized SAM has a monoisotopic weight of 399.145 m/z. Peaks of this m/z were evaluated, with a mass tolerance of 0.3 m/z. The corresponding peak intensities were transferred to Microsoft Excel for further evaluation. A calibration curve for SAM in the range between 5 and 50  $\mu$ M gave a correlation coefficient,  $R^2$ , of 0.9961. Based on the signal-to-noise ratios of calibrants and samples, the detection limit was estimated to be about 1 µM SAM in solution; based on the dry weight and the extraction volume from the respective experiment, the SAM content of the fungi

was calculated. For statistical analyses and graph drawing, SOFA Statistics 0.9.20 and R version 2.13.1 were employed. In the case of dcSAM, the corresponding peak data were extracted manually from the processed spectra. Protonized dcSAM has a monoisotopic mass of 355.155 m/z. Peaks of this m/z were evaluated, with a mass tolerance of 0.3 m/z. The corresponding peak intensities were transferred to Excel for further evaluation. The mean  $\pm$  SEM of data corresponding to triplicates from six different SAM and dcSAM samples are reported.

#### RESULTS

# Identification and cloning of the *U. maydis* SAMDC gene

The U. maydis gene encoding S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (Samdc) was identified in the U. maydis genome database at the Broad Institute (http://www. broadinstitute.org/annotation/genome/ustilago\_maydis/ Home.html), corroborated at the Munich Information Center for Protein Sequences (MIPS) (http://mips. helmholtz-muenchen.de/genre/proj/ustilago/), and, finally, the BLASTX algorithm (Altschul et al., 1997) was used to search the databases with fungal Samdc proenzymes as queries: C. albicans (EEQ46120), S. cerevisiae (NP\_014590) and Y. lipolytica (XP\_504183). In this way the putative U. maydis SAMDC gene, corresponding to the annotation number Um10792 at MIPS, was identified. This gene showed no introns and encoded a predicted ORF of 556 aa in length, with the characteristic Samdc domain spanning almost the full sequence. Its degree of identity with enzymes from Malazzesia globosa, Schizophyllum commune and Coprinopsis cinerea was of the order of 40% at the amino acid level. The U. maydis protein has a higher  $M_r$ than homologues from some Ascomycota species. Thus, Samdc proteins from N. crassa and S. cerevisiae contain 503 and 396 aa, respectively (Hoyt et al., 2000). However, despite these differences, the amino acid residues predicted to be important for the proenzyme cleavage and formation of the pyruvoyl group (during the maturation process of Samdc, a specific serine residue is converted to a pyruvoyl residue), AYLLSESSMF, are conserved in all of them (Fig. 1, arrow). With this information, specific primers were designed, and the whole U. maydis gene with the estimated promoter and terminator elements was amplified by PCR, cloned into an episomal pHyg101 plasmid, and sequenced.

# Disruption of the SAMDC gene using an odc mutant as a recipient strain

Essentially we followed the method of Fotheringham & Holloman (1989). The disruption cassette from plasmid  $p\Delta samdc$  (4.5 kb) was PCR-amplified with primers Samdc5 and Samdc3, and the fragment was used to transform protoplasts from the *U. maydis* LG4 *odc* mutant (Table 1), as indicated above. Putative transformants resistant to carboxin and hygromycin B were recovered on solid MM medium, pH 7, with added sorbitol and other requirements (5 mM putrescine and 0.5 mM sper-

midine), and confirmed by PCR-based screening using primers pCBX and pAC110 (Table 2), where the expected PCR product of ~1.5 kb was amplified (not shown). Auxotrophy to polyamines was confirmed (see below), and *odc/samdc* double mutants samdc6 and samdc7 were selected for further experiments.

# Auxotrophic requirements of the *odc/samdc* double mutants

Taking into consideration that the *SAMDC* gene encodes an enzyme essential for spermidine synthesis, we expected that *odc/samdc* double mutants would require the enzyme to grow. Mutants were able to grow on two subcultures without polyamines, after which their polyamine pools were exhausted and they failed to grow in media without polyamines, although they grew in the presence of 0.1 or 0.5 mM spermidine at a rate comparable with that of the wild-type (results not shown). Although *odc/samdc* mutants were unable to produce putrescine through the ODC pathway, the spermidine acetylase-oxidase route (Valdés-Santiago *et al.*, 2009, 2010) provided enough of this polyamine to cover their requirements (Fig. 2).

# Isolation of *samdc* single mutants by sexual recombination *in planta*

Using sexual recombination *in planta* between an *a2b2 odc/ samdc* double mutant and the FB1 wild-type strain (*a1b1*), it was possible to isolate a set of single *samdc* mutants, selecting strains 5-11 (*samdc*::Cbx<sup>R</sup> *a1b1*) and LV71 (*samdc*::Cbx<sup>R</sup> *a2b2*) to conduct further studies. Mutants were confirmed by Northern analysis (results not shown).

#### Complementation of samdc mutants

Through transformation of a *samdc* mutant with a plasmid containing a functional copy of the *SAMDC* gene, it was possible to obtain *SAMDC* revertant strains (4*samdc*R, 11*samdc*R, 7*samdc*R) resistant to carboxin and hygromicin. The presence of the *SAMDC* gene in these strains restored the capacity to grow in the absence of spermidine.

# Effect of different stress conditions on *samdc* mutants

The effect of 10 mM LiCl, 3 mM  $H_2O_2$ , different concentrations of menadione, 0.005 or 0.05 mM Rose Bengal (RB), 0.2 or 0.7 mM ascorbic acid, 1 M sorbitol, 0.5 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> or 1 M NaCl on cell growth was assayed as described in Methods. Polyamine pools of the *U. maydis* mutants were depleted by subculturing twice in polyamine-free medium, followed by inoculation on plates supplemented with 0.1 mM spermidine. In the absence of inhibitors only slightly reduced growth rates were observed for 5-11 (*samdc*) and LV54 (*spe*) mutants as compared with the FB2 wild-type (control) and 4*samdc*R (revertant) strains (Fig. 3a). On the other hand, under some stress



**Fig. 1.** Alignment of Samdc proenzyme sequences from *U. maydis* with those of several Basidiomycota and one Ascomycota fungi. The amino acid sequences were aligned by the CLUSTAL w method. Amino acid residue numbers refer to \*\*\*. Residues that are identical in *U. maydis* Samdc and in two other proenzymes are shaded in black, while less conserved positions are shaded in grey. Important amino acids involved in proenzyme cleavage and formation of the pyruvoyl group are indicated with an arrow. Other important motifs of the enzyme are indicated by black bars. For the significance of 1, 2 and 3, refer to the Discussion.

conditions, mutants showed growth impairment. Both mutants were completely inhibited by 1 M NaCl, but in contrast, no effect was observed on wild-type FB2 or complemented 4samdcR strains (Fig. 3b). Interestingly, Li<sup>+</sup> addition resulted in growth inhibition only of the samdc and not of the spe mutant, FB2 or the complemented strain (Fig. 3c). H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (3 mM) or 0.05 mM menadione completely inhibited the growth of the mutants and that of the wild-type and complemented strains almost completely (Fig. 3d, e). Concentrations of  $H_2O_2$  or menadione higher than 3 or 0.05 mM, respectively, completely inhibited the growth of all strains (results not shown). Rose Bengal (0.05 mM) had no effect on the wild-type and complemented strains, and was barely inhibitory for the mutants, the samdc mutant being slightly more sensitive (Fig. 3f). Other concentrations tested were equally inhibitory for all strains (results not shown). Other tested compounds, sorbitol, CaCl<sub>2</sub> and ascorbic acid, affected the growth of the wild-type, revertant and mutant strains to the same extent (results not shown).

#### Dimorphic transition induced by acid pH

U. maydis grows in the yeast form at neutral pH, and in the hyphal form at acid pH (Ruiz-Herrera et al., 1995). Previously, it was demonstrated that odc mutants were unable to carry out the dimorphic transition, unless cultivated at high putrescine concentrations (Guevara-Olvera et al., 1997). To determine whether spermidine-less mutants behaved in the same way, we carried out similar experiments with samdc mutant 5-11 and spe mutant LV54, and the results are shown in Fig. 4. It was observed that low spermidine concentrations (5 µM) sustained vegetative growth of both mutants (samdc or spe), but addition of a higher concentration of spermidine (0.1 mM) was necessary to induce the dimorphic switch of the *samdc* mutant only, having no effect on the cell morphology of the spe mutant. As expected, the wild-type strain and the revertant grew in the mycelial form in pH 3 medium in the absence of spermidine.

#### Mating analysis

We observed a concentration-dependent effect of spermidine on mating of homologous strains of both types of mutants: 5-11 (*a1b1*  $\Delta samdc::Cbx^R$ ) × LV71 (*a2b2*  $\Delta samdc::Cbx^R$ ) and LV54 (*a2b1*  $\Delta spe::Cbx^R$ ) × LV7



**Fig. 2.** Auxotrophic requirements of *odc/samdc*, *samdc* and *odc* mutants. Strains were grown at 28 °C for 48 h on plates of solid pH 7 MM containing or not containing the indicated polyamines. Wt, Wild-type.  $(a1b2 \Delta spe:: Cbx^R)$ . In both cases, the intensity of dikaryon formation increased, as revealed by the appearance of white fuzzy filamentous colonies as we raised the concentration of spermidine (see Fig. 5). Nevertheless, visually, *samdc* mutant crosses did not attain the filamentous appearance of wild-type strains, even at the highest spermidine concentrations used, while *spe* mutant crosses behaved as the wild-type cells at 0.5 mM spermidine (Fig. 5).

#### **Virulence studies**

In contrast to spe mutants, which generate tumours in about 20% of infected maize plants (Valdés-Santiago et al., 2009), samdc mutants proved to be completely avirulent to maize plants: out of a total of 128 plants inoculated with a mixture of 5-11 and LV71 samdc sexually compatible mutants, not a single one developed tumours, whereas 76.9% of maize plants (out of a total of 79 plants) inoculated with a mixture of FB1and FB2 strains formed tumours. This avirulent phenotype agrees with the behaviour of *odc* mutants, which are also unable to induce tumours in maize plants (Guevara-Olvera et al., 1997; Valdés-Santiago et al., 2010). The mutation was recessive, since 82.5% of 82 plants inoculated with a mixture of a wild-type and a samdc strain formed tumours. Also, crosses of the 4samdcR revertant with samdc mutant 5-11 reached the tumour-formation level of wild-type crosses: 90 % of the 62 infected plants formed tumours.



**Fig. 3.** Stress response of spermidine auxotrophic mutants. Plates containing 0.1 mM spermidine and 0.2 mM lysine were amended with the following test substances: (a) no addition (control), (b) 1 M NaCl, (c) 10 mM LiCl, (d) 3 mM  $H_2O_2$ , (e) 0.05 mM menadione, (f) 0.05 mM Rose Bengal, and were spot-inoculated with decimal dilutions of suspensions of the indicated strains. The photograph was taken after 48 h of incubation at 28 °C.



**Fig. 4.** Dimorphic transition of *spe* and *samdc* mutants compared with wild-type and revertant strains. Cells were grown in liquid pH 3 MM for 24 h. (a, c) *spe* LV54 mutant, (b, d) *samdc* 5-11 mutant, (e) *4samdc*R revertant, (f) FB2 wild-type. (a, b) Medium containing 5  $\mu$ M spermidine, (c, d) medium containing 0.1 mM spermidine. (a, c) Medium containing 0.2 mM lysine, (e, f) medium without additions. Bars, 15  $\mu$ m.

#### Determination of SAM and dcSAM levels in wildtype and mutant strains

SAM was identified and quantified by MS in the mutants LV54 (*spe*; Valdés-Santiago *et al.*, 2009), 5-11 (*samdc*) and

LG4 (*odc*; Guevara-Olvera *et al.*, 1997), and as a control, in the wild-type strain FB2. In strains FB2 and LG4, the SAM content was close to the detection limit of the method. The respective calculated means of 7.4 and 9.2 pmol (mg dry cell weight)<sup>-1</sup> were not significantly different, according to



Fig. 5. Mating capacity of spermidine auxotrophic mutants. Sexually compatible strains were inoculated on plates of charcoal-containing MM, pH 7, plus 0.2 mM lysine, incubated at 25 °C for 24 h, and photographed. (a) No additions, (b) 0.1 mM spermidine, (c) 0.5 mM spermidine. (1) Cross between FB1 a1b1 and FB2 a2b2, (2) cross between LV54 (spe::Cbx<sup>R</sup> a1b2) and LV7 (spe::Cbx<sup>R</sup> a2b1), (3) cross between LV71 (samdc::Cbx<sup>R</sup> a2b2) 5-11 and (samdc::Cbx<sup>R</sup> a1b1).

4

#### L. Valdés-Santiago and others

Student's *t* test. On the other hand, mutants LV54 and 5-11 contained high SAM levels: 45.8 and 118.8 pmol (mg dry cell weight)<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These values are respectively 6.2- and 16.2-fold higher than that of the FB2 strain (Fig. 6a). According to Student's *t* test these differences are highly significant (P<0.001). dcSAM content in the wild-type strain was close to the detection limit, agreeing with reports for mammals, where dcSAM content is very low (Pegg, 1988); similarly, in the LG4 mutant, the levels appeared to be below the detection limit. As expected, no dcSAM was present in mutant 5-11. In contrast, mutant LV54 showed an aproximately 46-fold higher signal intensity than the wild-type strain (Fig. 6b).

## DISCUSSION

Our data indicate that U. maydis gene Um10792 encodes a functional Samdc proenzyme. The size of the polypeptide differs from those of Ascomycota, and even those of some Basidiomycota, but this is not surprising, as comparative analyses have revealed differences among bacterial, archaeal and eukaryotic Samdc polypeptides, which in different species range from 105 to 460 aa in length. In this sense, Kozbial & Mushegian (2005) concluded that the sizes of eukaryotic Samdc proteins and their characteristics may be directly related to the duplication of the ancestor of archaeal Samdc. Despite these differences, the Ser (Ser<sup>168</sup>) that is the precursor of the pyruvoyl residue (Stanley et al., 1989) is conserved in U. maydis Samdc. Additionally, the motif surrounding this residue, YVLSESS, is fully conserved in the U. maydis enzyme (Fig. 1). Other important conserved motifs, shown in Fig. 1, are also present in the U. maydis Samdc proenzyme: FEGPEKLLE (1), PCGYSAN (2)

and TIHVTPE (3). All these motifs are involved in the processing reaction to form the two subunits and the pyruvate prosthetic group (Xiong & Pegg, 1999). These data reveal that the *U. maydis* enzyme possesses a similar active site, and probably the same catalytic mechanism as that exhibited by eukaryotic Samdc proteins in general.

Previously, we obtained *spe* mutants in *U. maydis* only when we used the *odc* genetic background, possibly because of the toxic effect of accumulated putrescine in the single *spe* mutants (Valdés-Santiago *et al.*, 2009). Taking this precedent into consideration we used the same strategy to delete the *SAMDC* gene. The double (*odc/samdc*) mutants thus obtained were crossed *in planta* with sexually compatible wild-type partners to obtain *samdc* single mutants. The observation that these mutants behave as spermidine auxotrophs is evidence that the fungus contains a single Samdc-encoding gene. It also demonstrates that, as would be expected, the *SAMDC* gene is essential. This result is in agreement with data from *L. donovani*, *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae* (Pitkin & Davis, 1990; Balasundaram *et al.*, 1991; Hamasaki-Katagiri *et al.*, 1997; Roberts *et al.*, 2002).

Phenotypic analysis of *samdc* mutants revealed that they displayed the same basic characteristics as the *spe* mutants, but, interestingly, although both Spe and Samdc enzymes are required for spermidine biosynthesis, the mutants showed some interesting phenotypic differences. An important difference was that in contrast to *U. maydis spe* mutants, which are able to induce tumours in about 20 % of inoculated maize plants (Valdés-Santiago *et al.,* 2009), *samdc* mutants are totally avirulent. The most probable explanation for this discrepancy is the inability of *samdc* mutants to mate and form invasive dikaryons, as



**Fig. 6.** Content of SAM (a) and dcSAM (b) in strains FB2 (wild-type), LV54 (*spe*), LG4 (*odc*) and 5-11 (*samdc*). Cells were grown for 48 h in pH 7 liquid MM, but the medium for 5-11 was amended with 0.1 mM spermidine, and the medium for LV54 was amended with 0.1 mM spermidine plus 0.2 mM lysine.

revealed by their negative fuz reaction in comparison with *spe* mutants. Since only dikaryotic or diploid *U. maydis* strains are virulent, a mixture of sexually compatible strains unable to mate, as occurs with *samdc* mutants, would be unable to infect their host.

Several authors have shown that polyamines are essential for the stress response (Gill & Tuteja, 2010), and, according to our data, U. maydis polyamine-deficient mutants show a higher sensitivity than the wild-type strain to a range of stress conditions (see Results and Valdés-Santiago et al., 2009, 2010). In the present study we observed that samdc cells are more sensitive than spe mutants to ionic stress induced by LiCl. It is possible that samdc mutants are affected to a higher degree than spe mutants in their capacity to control the mechanisms of ion transport across the plasma membrane. A possible hypothesis to explain this phenotypic difference between the two types of mutants is a dissimilarity in their capacity to transport spermidine from the culture medium. Accordingly, it is probable that samdc mutants have a reduced capacity to take up the polyamine from the medium, reducing their ability to mate and maintain their ionic equilibrium.

Our previous data revealed that spe mutants were unable to carry out the dimorphic yeast-to-mycelium transition (Valdés-Santiago et al., 2009), and the same phenotype was displayed by samdc mutants, although unlike spe mutants they recovered the wild-type phenotype by addition of a higher spermidine concentration (0.1 mM). This effect was not due to growth impairment in the presence of the lower levels of spermidine, since the same growth rate was obtained in both mutants using 0.1 mM spermidine. Several hypotheses can be invoked to explain this difference in behaviour of spe and samdc mutants, but the most simple one would be the different cellular locations of the two enzymes and the existence of different pools of the polyamine. This phenomenon has been demonstrated in Mucor rouxii, where it explains the different sensitivities of growth and dimorphism to the ODC inhibitor 1,4-diamino-2-butanone (Martínez-Pacheco & Ruiz-Herrera, 1993).

One interesting, although expected, characteristic of samdc mutants is that, unlike the wild-type, they accumulate high levels of SAM, the substrate of Samdc, but in contrast it was surprising that *spe* mutants accumulated SAM to levels as high as half those of samdc mutants. In contrast, odc mutants not only did not accumulate SAM, but their SAM content was almost the same as that of the wild-type, a result that agrees with the report that F9 teratocarcinoma stem cells treated with *a*-difluoromethylornithine, an inhibitor of ODC, exhibit a lower SAM content, probably due to a compensatory increase in Samdc activity, which consumes the substrate (SAM) (Stjernborg et al., 1993; Frostesjö et al., 1997). It has been established that Samdc is not only critical for polyamine biosynthesis but also plays a key role in determining the disposition of the cellular SAM pools (Pegg et al., 1998). A possible explanation of this

accumulation in *spe* mutants is that the accumulated dcSAM (the product of Samdc) is unable fully to regulate Samdc activity (Kashiwagi *et al.*, 1990; Li *et al.*, 2001).

Another collateral metabolite in the pathway of polyamine biosynthesis, dcSAM, was found to be accumulated in the spe mutant (46-fold increase in comparison with the FB2 wild-type strain), and was absent in *odc* and (as expected) in samdc mutants. The relative contents of both SAM and dcSAM in the spe and samdc mutants are important in relation to DNA methylation, since DNA methylases and Samdc share SAM as a common substrate (Fraga et al., 2002; Ruiz-Herrera, 1994). For this reason, SAM accumulation is related to the methylation of low-molecularmass compounds, nucleic acids and proteins (for reviews on this topic see Chiang, et al., 1996; Fontecave et al., 2004; Lieber & Packer, 2002; Lu, 2000; Mato et al., 1997; Loenen, 2006). Additionally, a negative relationship between the levels of dcSAM and the state of DNA methylation, and a positive relationship with cell differentiation, have been established (Frostesjö et al., 1997). Accordingly, a plausible hypothesis to explain the differences observed in the phenotypes of samdc and spe mutants might be related to their different levels of SAM and dcSAM, which have important effects on different cellular functions. Examples of the effects of dcSAM are the study of Duranton et al. (1998), who reported that treatment of a Caco-2 cell line with an inhibitor of Samdc gave rise to an increase in global DNA methylation and the expression of a differentiation marker, and the observation that depletion of polyamine biosynthesis in F9 teratocarcinoma stem cells gave rise to an increase in the level of dcSAM, leading to an induction of differentiation that was counteracted by specific inhibition of Samdc (Frostesjö et al., 1997). Regarding SAM, it has been reported that inhibition of its synthesis by 3-deazaadenosine promotes hypomethylation and differentiation of muscle (Scarpa et al., 1996), and Fuso et al. (2001) have suggested the possibility of silencing genes regulated by DNA methylation through the administration of exogenous SAM. Whether the observed phenotypic differences between spe and samdc mutants might be related to the differential effects of SAM and dcSAM on the methylation of micro- and macromolecules is an interesting possibility that deserves to be analysed.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially supported by Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT), México. We thank Dr Edgardo Ulises Esquivel-Naranjo for his help in the isolation of *samdc* mutants and Mr Antonio Cisneros for photographs. We are indebted to Yesenia Ruiz-Rodríguez and Mayela F. Salazar-Chávez for their expert technical assistance.

## REFERENCES

Altschul, S. F., Madden, T. L., Schäffer, A. A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W. & Lipman, D. J. (1997). Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **25**, 3389–3402.

Balasundaram, D., Tabor, C. W. & Tabor, H. (1991). Spermidine or spermine is essential for the aerobic growth of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **88**, 5872–5876.

Banuett, F. (1992). Ustilago maydis, the delightful blight. Trends Genet 8, 174–180.

Banuett, F. (1995). Genetics of *Ustilago maydis*, a fungal pathogen that induces tumors in maize. *Annu Rev Genet* 29, 179–208.

**Banuett, F. & Herskowitz, I. (1989).** Different a alleles of *Ustilago maydis* are necessary for maintenance of filamentous growth but not for meiosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **86**, 5878–5882.

Basse, C. W. & Steinberg, G. (2004). *Ustilago maydis*, model system for analysis of the molecular basis of fungal pathogenicity. *Mol Plant Pathol* 5, 83–92.

Blasco, J. L., García-Sánchez, M. A., Ruiz-Herrera, J., Eslava, A. P. & Iturriaga, E. A. (2002). A gene coding for ornithine decarboxylase (*odcA*) is differentially expressed during the *Mucor circinelloides* yeast-to-hypha transition. *Res Microbiol* **153**, 155–164.

**Bölker, M. (2001).** *Ustilago maydis* – a valuable model system for the study of fungal dimorphism and virulence. *Microbiology* **147**, 1395–1401.

Chattopadhyay, M. K., Park, M. H. & Tabor, H. (2008). Hypusine modification for growth is the major function of spermidine in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* polyamine auxotrophs grown in limiting spermidine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105, 6554–6559.

Chavez-Ontiveros, J., Martinez-Espinoza, A. D. & Ruiz-Herrera, J. (2000). Double chitin synthetase mutants from the corn smut fungus *Ustilago maydis. New Phytol* **146**, 335–341.

Chiang, P. K., Gordon, R. K., Tal, J., Zeng, G. C., Doctor, B. P., Pardhasaradhi, K. & McCann, P. P. (1996). S-Adenosylmethionine and methylation. *FASEB J* 10, 471–480.

Cohen, S. S. (1998). A Guide to the Polyamines. New York: Oxford University Press.

Cohn, M. S., Tabor, C. W. & Tabor, H. (1978). Isolation and characterization of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mutants deficient in *S*-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase, spermidine, and spermine. *J Bacteriol* 134, 208–213.

Da'dara, A. A. & Walter, R. D. (1998). Molecular and biochemical characterization of *S*-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase from the free-living nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Biochem J* 336, 545–550.

**Duranton, B., Keith, G., Goss, Bergmann, C., Schleiffer, R. & Raul, F.** (1998). Concomitant changes in polyamine pools and DNA methylation during growth inhibition of human colonic cancer cells. *Exp Cell Res* 243, 319–325.

Fontecave, M., Atta, M. & Mulliez, E. (2004). S-Adenosylmethionine: nothing goes to waste. *Trends Biochem Sci* 29, 243–249.

Fotheringham, S. & Holloman, W. K. (1989). Cloning and disruption of *Ustilago maydis* genes. *Mol Cell Biol* 9, 4052–4055.

Fotheringham, S. & Holloman, W. K. (1990). Pathways of transformation in *Ustilago maydis* determined by DNA conformation. *Genetics* 124, 833–843.

Fraga, M. F., Rodríguez, R. & Cañal, M. J. (2002). Genomic DNA methylation-demethylation during aging and reinvigoration of *Pinus radiata*. *Tree Physiol* 22, 813–816.

**Frostesjö, L., Holm, I., Grahn, B., Page, A. W., Bestor, T. H. & Heby, O.** (1997). Interference with DNA methyltransferase activity and genome methylation during F9 teratocarcinoma stem cell differentiation induced by polyamine depletion. *J Biol Chem* 272, 4359–4366.

Fuso, A., Cavallaro, R. A., Orrù, L., Buttarelli, F. R. & Scarpa, S. (2001). Gene silencing by S-adenosylmethionine in muscle differentiation. *FEBS Lett* **508**, 337–340.

Gill, S. S. & Tuteja, N. (2010). Polyamines and abiotic stress tolerance in plants. *Plant Signal Behav* 5, 26–33.

**Guevara-Olvera, L., Calvo-Mendez, C. & Ruiz-Herrera, J. (1993).** The role of polyamine metabolism in dimorphism of *Yarrowia lipolytica. J Gen Microbiol* **139**, 485–493.

**Guevara-Olvera, L., Xoconostle-Cázares, B. & Ruiz-Herrera, J.** (1997). Cloning and disruption of the ornithine decarboxylase gene of *Ustilago maydis*: evidence for a role of polyamines in its dimorphic transition. *Microbiology* 143, 2237–2245.

Hamasaki-Katagiri, N., Tabor, C. W. & Tabor, H. (1997). Spermidine biosynthesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisae*: polyamine requirement of a null mutant of the *SPE3* gene (spermidine synthase). *Gene* 187, 35–43.

Herrero, A. B., López, M. C., García, S., Schmidt, A., Spaltmann, F., Ruiz-Herrera, J. & Dominguez, A. (1999). Control of filament formation in *Candida albicans* by polyamine levels. *Infect Immun* 67, 4870–4878.

Hoffman, C. S. & Winston, F. (1987). A ten-minute DNA preparation from yeast efficiently releases autonomous plasmids for transformation of *Escherichia coli*. *Gene* 57, 267–272.

Holliday, R. (1961). Genetics of Ustilago maydis. Genet Res 2, 204.

Holliday, R. (1985). Aspects of DNA repair and nucleotide pool imbalance. *Basic Life Sci* **31**, 453–460.

Hoyt, M. A., Williams-Abbott, L. J., Pitkin, J. W. & Davis, R. H. (2000). Cloning and expression of the *S*-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase gene of *Neurospora crassa* and processing of its product. *Mol Gen Genet* 263, 664–673.

Jiménez-Bremont, J. F., Ruiz-Herrera, J. & Dominguez, A. (2001). Disruption of gene *YIODC* reveals absolute requirement of polyamines for mycelial development in *Yarrowia lipolytica*. *FEM Yeast Res* 1, 195–204.

Kämper, J., Kahmann, R., Bölker, M., Ma, L. J., Brefort, T., Saville, B. J., Banuett, F., Kronstad, J. W., Gold, S. E. & other authors (2006). Insights from the genome of the biotrophic fungal plant pathogen *Ustilago maydis. Nature* **444**, 97–101.

Kashiwagi, K., Taneja, S. K., Liu, T. Y., Tabor, C. W. & Tabor, H. (1990). Spermidine biosynthesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Biosynthesis and processing of a proenzyme form of *S*-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase. *J Biol Chem* 265, 22321–22328.

Klosterman, S. J., Perlin, M. H., Garcia-Pedrajas, M., Covert, S. F. & Gold, S. E. (2007). Genetics of morphogenesis and pathogenic development of *Ustilago maydis*. *Adv Genet* 57, 1–47.

Kozbial, P. Z. & Mushegian, A. R. (2005). Natural history of Sadenosylmethionine-binding proteins. BMC Struct Biol 5, 19.

Li, Y. F., Hess, S., Pannell, L. K., White Tabor, C. & Tabor, H. (2001). In vivo mechanism-based inactivation of *S*-adenosylmethionine decarboxylases from *Escherichia coli, Salmonella typhimurium*, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **98**, 10578–10583.

Lieber, C. S. & Packer, L. (2002). *S*-Adenosylmethionine: molecular, biological, and clinical aspects–an introduction. *Am J Clin Nutr* 76, 1148S–1150S.

Loenen, W. A. (2006). S-Adenosylmethionine: jack of all trades and master of everything? *Biochem Soc Trans* 34, 330–333.

Lu, S. C. (2000). S-Adenosylmethionine. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 32, 391–395.

Martínez-Espinoza, A. D., León, C., Elizarraraz, G. & Ruiz-Herrera, J. (1997). Monomorphic nonpathogenic mutants of *Ustilago maydis*. *Phytopathology* **87**, 259–265.

Martínez-Pacheco, M. & Ruiz-Herrera, J. (1993). Differential compartmentation of ornithine decarboxylase in cells of *Mucor rouxii*. J Gen Microbiol 139, 1387–1394.

Mato, J. M., Alvarez, L., Ortiz, P. & Pajares, M. A. (1997). *S*-Adenosylmethionine synthesis: molecular mechanisms and clinical implications. *Pharmacol Ther* **73**, 265–280.

Mayorga, M. E. & Gold, S. E. (1998). Characterization and molecular genetic complementation of mutants affecting dimorphism in the fungus *Ustilago maydis*. *Fungal Genet Biol* 24, 364–376.

Nickerson, K. W., Dunkle, L. D. & Van Etten, J. L. (1977). Absence of spermine in filamentous fungi. J Bacteriol 129, 173–176.

Nishimura, K., Nakatsu, F., Kashiwagi, K., Ohno, H., Saito, T. & Igarashi, K. (2002). Essential role of *S*-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase in mouse embryonic development. *Devoted Mol Cell Mechan* 7, 41–47.

Pegg, A. E. (1986). Recent advances in the biochemistry of polyamines in eukaryotes. *Biochem J* 234, 249–262.

**Pegg, A. E. (1988).** Polyamine metabolism and its importance in neoplastic growth and a target for chemotherapy. *Cancer Res* **48**, 759–774.

Pegg, A. E. & McCann, P. P. (1982). Polyamine metabolism and function. Am J Physiol 243, C212–C221.

Pegg, A. E., Xiong, H., Feith, D. J. & Shantz, L. M. (1998). *S*-Adenosylmethionine decarboxylase: structure, function and regulation by polyamines. *Biochem Soc Trans* 26, 580–586.

Pitkin, J. & Davis, R. H. (1990). The genetics of polyamine synthesis in *Neurospora crassa. Arch Biochem Biophys* 278, 386–391.

Roberts, S. C., Scott, J., Gasteier, J. E., Jiang, Y., Brooks, B., Jardim, A., Carter, N. S., Heby, O. & Ullman, B. (2002). S-Adenosylmethionine decarboxylase from *Leishmania donovani*. Molecular, genetic, and biochemical characterization of null mutants and overproducers. *J Biol Chem* 277, 5902–5909.

Ruiz-Herrera, J. (1993). The role of polyamines in fungal cell differentiation. *Arch Med Res* 24, 263–265.

Ruiz-Herrera, J. (1994). Polyamines, DNA methylation, and fungal differentiation. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 20, 143–150.

Ruiz-Herrera, J. & Calvo-Méndez, C. (1987). Effect of ornithine decarboxylase inhibitors on the germination of sporangiospores of mucorales. *Exp Mycol* 11, 287–296.

Ruiz-Herrera, J., León, C. G., Guevara-Olvera, L. & Cárabez-Trejo, A. (1995). Yeast–mycelial dimorphism of haploid and diploid strains of *Ustilago maydis. Microbiology* 141, 695–703.

Sambrook, J. & Russell, D. (2001). *Molecular Cloning: a Laboratory Manual*, 3rd edn. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

Sánchez-Martínez, C. & Pérez-Martín, J. (2001). Dimorphism in fungal pathogens: *Candida albicans* and *Ustilago maydis*-similar inputs, different outputs. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **4**, 214–221.

Scarpa, S., Lucarelli, M., Palitti, F., Carotti, D. & Strom, R. (1996). Simultaneous myogenin expression and overall DNA hypomethylation promote in vitro myoblast differentiation. *Cell Growth Differ* 7, 1051–1058.

Schnier, J., Schwelberger, H. G., Smit-McBride, Z., Kang, H. A. & Hershey, J. W. (1991). Translation initiation factor 5A and its hypusine modification are essential for cell viability in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Cell Biol* **11**, 3105–3114.

Shantz, L. M., Holm, I., Jänne, O. A. & Pegg, A. E. (1992). Regulation of *S*-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase activity by alterations in the intracellular polyamine content. *Biochem J* 288, 511–518.

**Shobayashi, M., Mukai, N., Iwashita, K., Hiraga, Y. & Iefuji, H. (2006).** A new method for isolation of *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM)accumulating yeast. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **69**, 704–710.

**Stanley, B. A. (1995).** *Polyamines: Regulation and Molecular Interaction*, pp. 27–75. Edited by R. A. Casero. Austin, TX: R. G. Landes Co.

Stanley, B. A. & Pegg, A. E. (1991). Amino acid residues necessary for putrescine stimulation of human *S*-adenosylmethionine decarbox-ylase proenzyme processing and catalytic activity. *J Biol Chem* 266, 18502–18506.

Stanley, B. A., Pegg, A. E. & Holm, I. (1989). Site of pyruvate formation and processing of mammalian *S*-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase proenzyme. *J Biol Chem* 264, 21073–21079.

Steinberg, G. & Pérez-Martín, J. (2008). Ustilago maydis, a new fungal model system for cell biology. Trends Cell Biol 18, 61-67.

**Stjernborg, L., Heby, O., Mamont, P. & Persson, L. (1993).** Polyamine-mediated regulation of S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase expression in mammalian cells. Studies using 5'-([(Z)-4-amino-2-butenyl]methylamino)-5'-deoxyadenosine, a suicide inhibitor of the enzyme. *Eur J Biochem* **214**, 671–676.

Tabor, C. W. & Tabor, H. (1984). Polyamines. Annu Rev Biochem 53, 749–790.

Tabor, C. W. & Tabor, H. (1985). Polyamines in microorganisms. *Microbiol Rev* 49, 81–99.

Tsukuda, T., Carleton, S., Fotheringham, S. & Holloman, W. K. (1988). Isolation and characterization of an autonomously replicating sequence from *Ustilago maydis*. *Mol Cell Biol* 8, 3703–3709.

Valdés-Santiago, L., Cervantes-Chávez, J. A. & Ruiz-Herrera, J. (2009). *Ustilago maydis* spermidine synthase is encoded by a chimeric gene, required for morphogenesis, and indispensable for survival in the host. *FEM Yeast Res* 9, 923–935.

Valdés-Santiago, L., Guzmán-de-Peña, D. & Ruiz-Herrera, J. (2010). Life without putrescine: disruption of the gene-encoding polyamine oxidase in *Ustilago maydis odc* mutants. *FEM Yeast Res* 10, 928–940.

Xiong, H. & Pegg, A. E. (1999). Mechanistic studies of the processing of human *S*-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase proenzyme. Isolation of an ester intermediate. *J Biol Chem* 274, 35059–35066.

Zanelli, C. F. & Valentini, S. R. (2007). Is there a role for eIF5A in translation? *Amino Acids* 33, 351–358.

Edited by: R. P. Oliver

#### Dear Authors,

Please find enclosed a proof of your article for checking.

When reading through your proof, please check carefully authors' names, scientific data, data in tables, any mathematics and the accuracy of references. Please do not make any unnecessary changes at this stage. All necessary corrections should be marked on the proof at the place where the correction is to be made; please write the correction clearly in the margin (if in the text they may be overlooked).

Any queries that have arisen during preparation of your paper for publication are listed below and indicated on the proof. Please provide your answers when returning your proof.

Please return your proof by Fax (+44 (0)118 988 1834) within 2 days of receipt.

Query no.	Query
1	Author: Methods, Determination of SAM and dcSAM levels. Please check whether "(1,2)" in the sentence "The further analysis of mass spectra was performed using the OpenMS/TOPP suite, version 1.7.0" should be changed to a reference citation or may be deleted
2	Author: Fig. 1, legend. Please indicate the significance of the number scale for the sequences
3	Author: Fig. 2. The figure has been converted to greyscale (mono). Please check that this is acceptable
4	Author: Fig. 4. The figure has been converted to greyscale (mono). Please check that this is acceptable

# SGM adopts a new way of ordering offprints

As a result of declining offprint orders and feedback from many authors who tell us they have no use for their free offprints, SGM has decided to phase out our practice of sending 25 free offprints to all corresponding authors.

We are also changing the way in which offprints are ordered. When the final version of this article has been authorized for printing, you will receive an email containing a link to the SGM Reprint Service. You can forward this email to your co-authors if you wish, so that they can order their own offprints directly, or to your finance or purchasing department, if orders are placed centrally.

When you click on the link in the email, you will be taken to an order page to place your offprint order. Like most online ordering sites, it will be necessary to set up an account and provide a delivery address while placing your order, if you do not already have an account. Once an account and delivery address have been set up, these details will be stored by the system for use with future orders. Payments can be made by credit card, PayPal or purchase order.

For an initial period, authors will be provided with a discount code that will allow them to order 25 free offprints, as well as any additional offprints they wish to purchase. This code will be valid for 90 days, and applies only to the paper for which it was issued. As all offprint orders will be despatched by courier from now on, there will be a charge for postage and packing, even on orders that consist only of free offprints.

Review authors will receive a discount code for up to 100 free offprints, as at present.

## SUMMARY

- You can create or update your account at any time at <a href="http://sgm-reprints.charlesworth.com/">http://sgm-reprints.charlesworth.com/</a>
- You will be sent an email when the offprints of this paper are ready for ordering
- You cannot order offprints of this paper before this email has been sent, as your paper will not be in the system
- You will also receive a discount code that will allow you to order 25 free offprints (postage & packing applies)
- Offprints can be ordered at any time after publication, although the discount code is only valid for 90 days

The ordering details and discount code will be emailed to the author listed as the corresponding author on the journal's manuscript submission system. If your paper has been published (the final version, not the publish-ahead-of-print version) but you have not received your discount code, email <u>reprints@sgm.ac.uk</u> quoting the journal, paper number and publication details.

If you have any questions or comments about the new offprint-ordering system, email reprints@sgm.ac.uk